

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,063 07/25/2003		John E. Hartman	HAR43 P-300 2218			
277 7	590 08/05/2004	EXAMINER				
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP 695 KENMOOR, S.E.			HAYES, BRET C			
			ADTIBUT	DARED MINARED		
P O BOX 2567			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			3644			
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		M		
Office Action Summary		10/627,06	3	HARTMAN, JOHN E.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bret C Ha		3644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>24 May 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	tb)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to					•		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa		<b>-152)</b>			

Application/Control Number: 10/627,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 6, 8 12 and 14 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 3,981,099 to Dziewulski.
- 3. Re claim 1, Dziewulski discloses the invention as claimed including a hanger assembly, e.g., Fig. 9, for hangingly supporting a potted plant, comprising: at least one supporting collar 14 comprising at least one inner aperture 13 extending therethrough, as through 19, an outer edge 15, a plurality of slots 17 extending inwardly from the outer edge 15 and each having a narrowed throat section and an expanded seat section, as at 16, and a plurality of frusto-conical surfaces in communication with the slots, as between 15 and 13; a plurality of flexible support members 41, 42 slidably received within the plurality of slots; and a plurality of stop members, set forth at col. 3, line 23 (3:23), fixedly connected to the plurality of flexible support members along a length thereof, wherein the stop members are seated within the frusto-conical surfaces of the at least one support collar and prevent downward movement of the supporting collar with respect to the plurality of flexible support members.
- 4. Re claim 2, Dziewulski further discloses the slots snappably receive one or more flexible support members as anyone who has tried to pull a knot through an aperture smaller than the knot knows, when pulling the knot back out the way it came, the knot 'snaps' out.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

5. Re – claim 3, Dziewulski further discloses the support members comprise cables or rope – 'cord' more specifically, which can easily be construed as rope.

- 6. Re claim 4, Dziewulski further discloses wherein the stop members comprise one or more components.
- 7. Re claim 5, Dziewulski further discloses the plurality of stop members being crimped, glued, welded or otherwise fixedly attached to the flexible support members.
- 8. Re claim 6, Dziewulski further discloses the plurality of stop members being spherically shaped some knots can easily be construed as spherically shaped.
- 9. Re claim 8, Dziewulski further discloses the supporting collar comprises plastic, metal, wood, or any composite or combination thereof, 3:58.
- 10. Re claim 9, Dziewulski further discloses a swivel support 43.
- 11. Re claims 10 12 and 14 19, Dziewulski discloses the claimed invention as applied to the above claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dziewulski as applied above.
- 14. Re claims 7 and 13, Dziewulski discloses the invention substantially as claimed as applied above except for the supporting collar comprising a substantially planar shape. It would

Application/Control Number: 10/627,063 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the supporting collar comprise a substantially planar shape, since there is no invention in merely changing the shape or form of an article without changing its function except in a design patent. *Eskimo Pie Corp. v. Levous et al.*, 3 USPQ 23. In this case, the function remains constant, i.e., supporting a plant.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (703) 306 – 0553. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 am to 3:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached at (703) 306 – 4198. The fax number is (703) 872 – 9306.

bh

8/2/04

SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINE